Sentinel-5 Precursor NO₂ and HCHO validation using NDACC and complementary FTIR and UV-Vis DOAS systems (NIDFORVal) SB

<u>C. Vigouroux and G. Pinardi</u>, M. De Mazière, J.-C. Lambert, B. Langerock, J. Granville, M. Van Roozendael Belgian Institute for Space Aeronomy, Belgium (BIRA-IASB), and all FTIR and UV-Vis partners.

Objectives

• Use two independent techniques, Fourier Transform InfraRed (FTIR) and UV-Visible Differential Optical Absorption Spectroscopy (DOAS), to provide data that fulfill the S5P validation requirements.



Retrievals settings have been harmonized at 21 FTIR stations.

- More details in Vigouroux et al., submitted AMT, 2018.
- Systematic uncertainty: 11 to 31% (median 14%)
- Random uncertainty: 1 to 11x10¹⁴ $molec/cm^2$ (median 2.6x10¹⁴)

Time-series at clean & polluted sites

WP1: FTIR HCHO total columns

FTIR stations with harmonized HCHO data sets Bou Mau Mex; Alt

Diurnal cycles

Degrees of freedom for signal ~ 1: total columns, with sentitivity in the whole troposphere.



& Seasonal cycles model comparisons

WP3: S5P	Validation
during P	hase El

First evaluation of S5P data quality

WP4: S5P Validation during Phase E2

S5P data quality; spatial consistency; seasonal cycles; long-term consistency; representativeness.

WP2: UV-Vis DOAS time-series

No founding for harmonization, but best-effort basis on geometry-specific state-of-the-art recommendations for retrieval strategies and reporting (pushing to GEOMS hdf).



Stratospheric NO₂ at twilight

- Harmonization: effort in UV-Vis NDACC network (Van Roozendael and Hendrick, 2012; Hendrick et al., 2012).
- NO₂ stratospheric columns uncertainty: - Systematic: 11-15%; - Random: 0.6e15 molec/cm²



- This new FTIR HCHO harmonized data set can now be used for past and present satellite validation.
- Data providers will update their time-series for TROPOMI validation.
- New sites might join in the future (e.g. Hefei, China; Jungfraujoch, Switzerland)

MAXDOAS DirectSun National networks in the past decade Pandora (NASA & e.g., MADRAS (Kanaya et al., 2014), BIRA, pandonia) НСНО BREDOM, Heidelberg, MPIC-Mainz, ChibaU network in networks, ... the past years **Tropospheric NO₂ and HCHO** Total NO₂ • Harmonization: a few research

Harmonization: efforts in QA₄ECV & CINDI projects for SCD (Peters et al. 2017; Pinardi et al., 2013). Currently different retrieval strategies for tropospheric VCD: Geometrical/AMF LUT approach (QA₄ECV outcome), Optimal estimation based profiling (Friess et al., 2006; Clémer et al., 2010), Parameterization: vertical profile using analytical functions constrained by a few parameters (Kanaya et al., 2014; Irie et al., 2008).



- **Estimated tropospheric columns uncertainties:** - systematic <15% (NO₂); ~20% (HCHO);
 - random : \sim 30% (NO₂ and HCHO).

54 instruments (40 for HCHO) MAXDOAS (HCHO)

- Some stations: low resolution profiles in 0-4 km; DOFS=1.5-3 (tropospheric column + surface concentration)
- Future Task: use outcome of the demonstration centralized **processing system** (ESA FRM₄DOAS project) to go one step further on retrieval harmonization.

processing facilities).

Pandonia networks - centralized

instruments but mostly harmonized

PANDORA instruments (NASA and

- NO₂ total columns uncertainty: - Systematic: 10-15%
 - Random: ~2.8e14 molec/cm²



WP3: Validation during Phase E1

Total of ~40 instruments interested in the E1 NO₂ and HCHO validation. Already 24 data streams today (covering Nov/Dec 2017):





WP4: Validation during Phase E2

Total of **91 stations (118 instruments)** involved for the long term NO₂ and HCHO validation.

<u>Goals</u>: Assessment of S5P data quality; validation of seas. cycles and long-term stability; study of the sampled air masses at 2,5x7km² resolution (urban VS background sites)



Monthly points R = 0.14 S = 0.083 ± 0.12 I = 6.1 ± 0.82



Effect of the satellite **a-priori profiles**: impact on (SAT-GB) **OMI QA4ECV** MAXDOAS

Plan for data collection:

- UV-vis: Jan for Oct/Dec 2017 and Mid March for Jan/Feb

Results will be

presented at EGU

- FTIR: Mid March for Nov \rightarrow Feb (11 stations)
- HCHO: 3 sites at Reunion Island, 2 for TROPOMI validation: \bullet - Maïdo: FTIR total columns at 2.2 km altitude - Le Port: MAXDOAS tropospheric columns at sea level
 - St-Denis: 2004-2013 FTIR time-series





FTIR (12)

Mainz (324-359nm)

IMK-ASF, KIT (Germany)– T. Blumenstock; IARC/AEMET (Spain) – O. García; UNAM (Mexico) – M. Grutter; UCAR (USA) – J. Hannigan; University of Wollongong (Australia) – N. Jones; FMI (Finland) – R. Kivi; Saint-Petersburg University (Russia) – M. Makarova; IUP-Bremen (Germany) – J. Notholt; NIWA (New-Zealand) – J. Robinson, D. Smale; University of Toronto (Canada) -K. Strong; IMK-IFU (Germany) – R. Sussmann; ERMA-IPSL (France) – Y. Té

UV-Vis DOAS (29)

AUTH (Greece) – A. Bais; AEMET (Spain) – A. Redondas; LufBlick (Austria) – A. Cede; GIST (Korea) – J. Chong; BAS (UK) – S. Colwell; IUP-Heidelberg (Germany) – U. Friess; INTA (Spain) – M. Yela, O. Puentedura;

Partners

(336-359nm)

Monthly point R = 0.6 S = 1.3 ± 0.5 I = -6.6 ± 1.8

DLR (Germany) – N. Hao; NASA (USA) – J. Herman; DWD (Germany) – R. Holla; FMI (Finland) – J. Hovila; Chiba University (Japan) – H. Irie; JAMSTEC (Japan) – Y. Kanaya; IERSD-NOA (Greece) – S. Kazadzis; University of Leicester (UK) – R. Leigh; INOE (Romania) – A. Nemuc; LATMOS (France) – A. Pazmino, J.-P. Pommereau; KNMI (The Netherlands) – A. Piters; IAP/RAS (Russia) – O. Postylyakov; NIWA (New Zealand) – R. Querel; IUP-Bremen (Germany) – A. Richter, F. Wittrock; NILU (Norway) – K. Stebel; UNAM (Mexico) – M. Grutter; USTC Hefei (China) – C. Liu ; University of Alaska (Canada) – W. Simpson; University of Toronto (Canada) – K. Strong; University of Colorado (USA), R. Volkamer; University of Wollongong (Australia) – S. Wilson; MPI-Mainz (Germany) – T. Wagner